

Cyclopropanation of Tin-Substituted Acetals with Olefinic Alcohols via In Situ Transacetalization

Masanobu Sugawara and Jun-ichi Yoshida*

Department of Synthetic Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Received 21 November 1998; revised 21 December 1998; accepted 25 December 1998

Abstract

Reactions of a tin-substituted acetal with olefinic alcohols in the presence of an acid resulted in facile transacetalization followed by intramolecular cyclopropanation to give the cyclized products in high yields and stereoselectivity. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Carbonium ions; Cyclopropanes; Cyclopropanation; Stereospecificity

An intramolecular reaction is generally more favorable than the intermolecular counterpart owing to lesser demand in the decrease of entropy. Therefore, intramolecularization [1] of chemical reactions has been developed from view points of both efficiency and selectivity. For the approach to intramolecularization, in situ approach [2] seems to be quite useful because two reaction sites are pre-connected by in situ intermolecular reaction, and therefore, there is no need for the isolation of the pre-connected intermediate. Recently we have developed a new "tin carbenoid" [3] (Scheme 1) using remarkable γ effect of tin [4,5]. In this paper we report the intramolecularization of the cyclopropanation of "tin carbenoid" via in situ transacetalization [6].

Scheme 1.

0040-4039/99/\$ - see front matter © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(99)00035-0 We found that stannyl substituted acetal 1 [7] is quite effective for the intramolecularization of cyclopropanation via in situ transacetalization (Scheme 2). For example, the treatment of 1 with Lewis acids such as TMSOTf and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in the presence of olefinic alcohol 2 gave rise to facile formation of bicyclic cyclopropane 3 in 95%, and 82% yields, respectively. The reaction seems to proceed by the initial transacetalization of 1 with 2 to give mixed acetal 4 which then undergoes acid promoted elimination of the ethoxy group to generate tin substituted carbocation 5 (Scheme 2). The carbocation adds to the carbon-carbon double bond and the subsequent γ -elimination of tin [8] to achieve the intramolecular cyclopropanation.

Scheme 2

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{OEt} \\ \text{Bu}_3 \text{Sn} & \text{OEt} \\ \textbf{1} & \text{OH} \\ \textbf{2} & \text{acid-catalyzed transacetalization} \\ \textbf{2} & \text{acid} & \text{C}_{10} \text{H}_{21} \\ \textbf{SnBu}_3 & \text{cyclopropanation} \\ \textbf{5} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{3} \\ \end{array}$$

It is noteworthy that the reaction of 1 with trimethylsilyl protected olefinic alcohol 6 mainly gave rise to the formation of the monocyclic compound 7 (Scheme 3). Probably the transacetalization did not take place with the protected alcohol and the cyclopropanation of 1 took place directly at the olefinic part of 6. The desilylation occurred probably at the work-up to give 7. The treatment of 7 with BF₃•OEt₂ (2 eq) did not give the bicyclic compound 3 at all. This result indicates that 3 was formed by the initial transacetalization followed by the intramolecular cyclopropanation as shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 3

OEt
$$C_{10}H_{21}$$
 $BF_3 OEt_2$ $C_{10}H_{21}$ $+$ $C_{10}H_{21}$ $+$

The stereospecificity of the present reaction is remarkable. *Exo-3* was obtained exclusively from *E-2* and *endo-3* was obtained exclusively from *Z-2* (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Complete stereospecificity suggests that the addition of the carbocation to the double bond and

the elimination of tin takes place in a synchronous fashion.

Table 1. Transacetalization-Intramolecular Cyclopropanation Reaction of 1^a with Olefinic Alcohols

entry	olefinic alcohol	product	yield(%) ^b
1	OH C ₁₀ H ₂₁	C ₁₀ H ₂₁	85
2	OH C ₁₀ H ₂₁	C ₁₀ H ₂₁	97
3	C ₇ H ₁₅ OH	C ₇ H ₁₅	63 (>99/1) ^c
4	Ph Me Me	Me Ph	93 (87/13) ^c
5	MeOOC OH Me	ooc	82 (91/9) ^c
6	но ОН Н	40~0	80 (84/16) ^c
7	C ₇ H ₁₅ OH	C ₇ H ₁₅	91 (91/9) ^c
8	c-C ₆ H ₁₁ OH	-C ₆ H ₁₁	87 (94/6) ^c
9	ОН		43 (>99/1) ^c

[&]quot;Reactions were carried out with 0.22 mmol of 1, 0.20 mmol of an olefinic alcohol, and 0.22 mmol of TMSOTf in CH₂Cl₂ (0.60 ml) at room temperature for 30 min. ^bIsolated yields *via* flash column chromatography. ^c The ratio was determined by ¹H NMR.

The reactions of 1 with various olefinic alcohols took place smoothly to give the corresponding bicyclic compounds in good yields (Table 1). The present reaction is generally applicable to the formation of bicyclic compounds having five, six, and seven-membered rings.

The construction of a highly strained tricyclic compound can also be accomplished by the present reaction (entry 9). It is also noteworthy that cis products were formed preferentially from secondary olefinic alcohols. In the case of the formation of six-membered rings, this stereoselectivity can be explained in terms of the diaxial orientation of the C-C double bond and the C-Sn bond which attains the maximum overlap of the π^* orbital and the C-Sn σ orbital [9], although more data should be accumulated for the elucidation of the detailed mechanism.

In summary, we have developed an *in situ* sequential transacetalization-intramolecular cyclopropanation reaction of a stannyl acetal with an olefinic alcohol. The present reaction provides an efficient method for the construction of oxygen-containing bicyclic and tricyclic compounds involving a cyclopropane ring with high stereoselectivity.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area (No. 283, "Innovative Synthetic Reactions") from Monbusho. The gifts of some organosilicon compounds from Shinetsu Chemical is also acknowledged.

References and Notes

- [1] T.-L. Ho, Tactics of Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1994, pp190-212.
- [2] a) L. F. Tietze, and U. Beifuss, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 131-163; b) L. F. Tietze Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115-136.
- [3] a) H. C. Clark, and C. J. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 1888-1891; b) D. Seyferth, F. M. Armbrecht, B. Prokai, and R. J. Cross, J. Organometal. Chem. 1966, 6, 573; c) D. Seyferth, H. Dertouzos, R. Suzuki, and J. Y. P. Mui, J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 2980-2984; d) D. Seyferth, and F. M. Armbrecht, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2616-2623; e) D. Seyferth, and R. L. Lambert, J. Organometal. Chem. 1975, 91, 31-45; f) J. P. Quintard, B. Elissondo, and D. M. Mpegna, J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 251, 175-187.
- [4] M. Sugawara, and J. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11986-11987.
- [5] M. Sugawara, and J. Yoshida, Synlett 1998, 1057-1059.
- [6] Cyclization reactions via in-situ transacetalization have been reported. a) N. A. Nikolic, E. Gonda, C. P. D. Longford, N. T. Lane, and D. W. Thompson, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2748-2751; b) A. Mekhalfia, I. E. Marko, and H. Adams, Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4783-4786; c) F. Perron-Sierra, M. A. Promo, V. A. Martin, K. F. Albizati, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6188-6199; d) I. E. Markó, A. Mekhalfia, D. J. Bayston, and H. Adamas, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2211-2213; e) T. Oriyama, A. Ishiwata, T. Sano, T. Matsuda, M. Takahashi, and G. Koga, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36 5581-5584; f) M. Suginome, T. Iwanami, and Y. Ito, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 6096-6097.
- [7] Stannyl substituted acetal 1 was prepared according to the literature procedure. C. S. Shiner, T. Tsunoda, B. A. Goodman, S. Ingham, S-h. Lee, and P. E. Vorndam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1381-1392. Another method: ref. 3f.
- [8] M. Pereyre, J-B. Quintard, and A. Rahm, Tin in Organic Synthesis, Butterworth & Co., London, 1987, p 235.
- [9] A. H. Hoveyda, D. A. Evans, and G. C. Fu, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1307-1370.